

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/800,448	03/05/2001	Santu Bandyopadhyay	A34065	2808	
21003	7590 07/15/2002				
BAKER & BOTTS			EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EWOLDT, G	ERALD R	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644 DATE MAILED: 07/15/2002	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/800,448

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Bandyopadhyay et al.

Art Unit

G.R. Ewoldt 1644



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	1	_ MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) he application to beco	MONTHS f	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			<u> </u>			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-fina	l .				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)						
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-12</u>						
Applica	tion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗆	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Serial No. 09/800,448 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of developing dendritic Langerhans type cells comprising culturing PBMC in a medium containing platelets, classified in Class 435, subclasses 377 and 385.
- II. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method of developing dendritic Langerhans type cells comprising culturing PBMC in a medium containing platelets while specifically omitting GM-CSF, classified in Class 435, subclasses 377 and 383.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

- 2. Inventions I-II are different methods. Note that it is well-established that the maturation of dendritic cells *in vitro* requires GM-CSF. Thus a method that specifically omits GM-CSF would be patentably distinct from a method that would include the cytokine.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and Groups I-II have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or the searches are not co-extensive and because the Groups encompass divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to

Serial No. 09/800,448 Art Unit 1644

5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

July 12, 2002